City of Alexandria, Virginia

Phase II Revision of Noise Ordinance Public Meeting, October 28, 2021

AGENDA

- Welcome and housekeeping items Jessica Lassetter (Meeting Moderator, T&ES)
- 2. Introductory Remarks Bill Skrabak, T&ES
- 3. Presentation Khoa Tran, T&ES
- 4. Public Comments & Questions



Presentation Outline



- Common noise sources, how noise is regulated and current enforcement practices
- Background information and current status
- Policy options for public input Feedback form
- Feedback form results
- Timeline and next steps
- Questions

Common Noise Sources



COMPARATIVE NOISE LEVELS (DBA)

COMMON OUTDOOR SOUND LEVELS



Car Horn at 3 ft.



Gas Lawn Mower at 3 ft. Diesel Truck at 150 ft.



Noisy Urban

Busy Highway at 50 ft.

Commercial Area

Quiet Urban



COMMON INDOOR SOUND LEVELS









Vacuum Cleaner at 10 ft.



Large Business Office Dishwasher Next Room

Small Theatre, Large Conference Room (Background)



Broadcast & Recording Studio

Threshold of Hearing











20

40

110

100

90

80



10

How is Noise Currently Regulated in Alexandria?



Regulated by Permitted Hours

- Construction: Monday Friday: 7 a.m. 6 p.m.; Saturday: 9 a.m. 6 p.m. Pile driving 9 am to 6 pm weekdays and 10 am to 4 pm Saturdays
- Trash collection: Oct Apr: 7 a.m. 11 p.m.; May Sept: 6 a.m. 11 p.m.
- Power lawn equipment: Monday Friday: 7 a.m. 9 p.m.; Saturday/Sunday: 9 a.m. 9 p.m.

Decibel Limits by Property Use Noise Limit and predominant Use

 Residential - 55 dB(A), commercial/institutional - 60 dB(A), industrial - 70 dB(A) at property lines

Exemptions

 Safety signals, warning devices, emergency pressure relief valves, aircraft, trains, emergency public work, etc.

Issuance of Noise Variance Permits

 Temporary construction activities, special events, events in parks and other public places, etc.

Current Enforcement Practices



- Business Hours (8 a.m. to 6 p.m.)
 - T&ES/OEQ is first responder to noise complaints; handles ~200-400 complaints annually
- Non-Business hours (6 p.m. to 8 a.m.)
 - APD provides initial response to about 2,000 complaints annually
- Animal Control handles noise from animals

Two-Phase Noise Ordinance Revision – Current Status



Two-phase approach to noise ordinance revision

Council approved the two-phase revision in April 2021

Phase I Revision adopted by Council on May 15, 2021

Incorporating all legally necessitated changes

Phase II Revision is ongoing and requires public input

 Considers 10 policy options associated with land use, noise levels and changes in demography and noise control resources

Phase II Revision Objectives



- To make the noise ordinance equitable, easy to understand and enforceable
- To promote more vibrancy in commercial corridors and safeguard the quietness and quality of life in residential areas

Feedback Form Results – Top Noise Issues (Q.4)



- Construction site noise 32.0%
- Powered lawn equipment 31.5%
- Train & metro horns -20.6%
- Construction noise from neighbors 20.1%
- Loud music/other noise from neighbors 17.8%
- Barking dogs and other animal noises 17.8%
- Car & motorcycle noises relating to speeding, muffler modifications or drag racing – 16.7%

Policy Option #1 – Remove Predominant Use Standard?



- Should the City remove the 'predominant use' standard and apply decibel standard based on the property use of the noise source
 - Predominant use is defined as the majority of property uses of the same type around the 200 feet perimeter of the noise source
- The intent is to make it more equitable and easier to understand and enforce by all
- Community feedback: Yes (66.2%), No (33.8%), 359 total responses

Policy Option #2: Do-It-Yourself (DIY) Construction



- Should the City regulate the DIY construction activities and limit these to the following permitted hours?
 - Monday Friday: 7 a.m. to 9 p.m.
 - Weekends and Holidays: 9 a.m. to 9 p.m.
- The intent is to regulate and establish permitted hours that are the same as lawn and gardening equipment
- Community feedback: Yes (79.6%), No (20.4%), 333 responses

Policy Options - Residential Use



- Option #3 Should the City adopt new changes to the noise ordinance to better enforce neighbor-to-neighbor noise in multi-familial buildings or leave the enforcement to condominium HOAs and/or building/property management?
- The intent is to improve enforcement since it is extremely difficult and resource-intensive for the City to enforce the noise code in multi-familial buildings
- Community feedback: Yes (59%), No (41%), 307 responses

Policy Options - Residential Use



- Option #4 Should the City adopt the "plainly audible" standard for night-time (11 p.m. to 7 a.m.) residential property use?
- The intent is to apply this provision only to noise from one residence to another and allow enforcement officer to determine violations without the use of a noise meter
- Community feedback: Yes (81.1%), No (18.9%), 339 responses

Policy Options - Commercial Use



- Option #5 Should the City consider increasing daytime (7 a.m. to 11 p.m.) decibel standard for commercial use from 60 to 65 decibels while keeping the nighttime (11 p.m. to 7 a.m.) standard at 60 decibels?
- The intent is to allow for more vibrancy in commercial areas during daytime but preserve the present noise limits during nighttime (11 pm to 7 am)
- Community feedback: Yes (58.9%), No (41.1%), 321 responses

Policy Options - Commercial Use



- Option #6 Should the City allow Special Use Permit noise conditions to be less restrictive than the noise ordinance?
- Currently, all SUPs have to comply with the noise ordinance as a minimum, plus specific conditions aimed at minimizing the chances of noise violations
- The intent is that it would be rarely used an example is a restaurant located in an industrial area would be permitted to have higher decibel standards or an outdoor band
- Community feedback: Yes (26.1%), No (73.9%), 322 responses

Policy Options - Commercial Use



- Option #7 Should the City adopt provision that does not allow loading, unloading and delivery activities between 11 p.m. and 7 a.m. if activities are within 500 feet of residential areas?
- The intent is every business has to comply with this provision if adopted going forward since Some SUPs currently do not have this condition
- Community feedback: Yes (78%), No (22%), 327 responses

Policy Options - Enforcement



- Option #8 Should the City expand the "Noise in public places - Central Business District" provision to the entire City?
 - Central Business District is established as the area between Prince and Queen Streets and between the Potomac River to S. Peyton, Harvard and N. West Streets.
- The intent is to regulate noise in public spaces and right of ways everywhere in the City and not just in the Central Business District
- Community feedback: Yes (59.3%), No (40.7%), 307 responses

Policy Options - Enforcement



- Option #9 Should the City remove the decibel limit at property lines of 75 dB(A) for power lawn equipment and only control its use by permitted hours?
 - Weekdays: 7 a.m. to 9 p.m.
 - Weekends and holidays: 9 a.m. to 9 p.m.
- 75 dB(A) is not realistic since all power lawn equipment easily exceeds this limit
- Unenforceable since people would stop using it during investigation
- City is seeking legislative authority to regulate leaf blowers
- Community feedback: Yes (41.1%), No (58.9%), 316 responses

Policy Options - Enforcement



- Option #10 In the absence of criminal penalty resulting from recent City Council decision, should the City increase the civil penalty for violating the noise ordinance from the present \$50 for first violation, \$100 for second violation and \$500 for third violation?
- Possible increases in penalties are \$100 for first violation, \$250 for second, and \$500 for a third violation
- Community feedback: Yes (73.2%), No (26.8%), 332 responses

Q16 - Other Issues for the City to Consider in Revised Ordinance



- Train horns Limit the duration and volume
- Gas powered leaf blowers ban its use or limit permitted time
- Car noises
- More effective after-hour enforcement
- SUP noise conditions pros and cons
- Reduce daytime from 7 am to 11 pm to 7 am to 9 pm.

Phase II Revision – Timeline & Next Steps



November 9: Council work session to discuss policy options and receive council guidance

December 14 & 18: Introduction of revised noise ordinance (December 14) and Council public hearing and consideration of revised noise ordinance (December 18)





- Please email me at khoadinh.tran@alexandriava.gov
 if you have any questions
- For more information, please visit the noise control webpage at <u>alexandriava.gov/Noise</u>